

Comment Letter I18

SOITEC SOLAR DEVELOPMENT
PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

3800 12-010 (GPA); Tierra Del Sol, 3300 12-010 (MUP), 3600 12-005 (REZ),
3921 77-046-01 (AP); Rugged Solar, 3300 12-007 (MUP);
ENVIRONMENTAL LOG NO.: 3910 120005 (ER)

PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD
January 2, 2014 through February 17, 2014

PUBLIC REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
5510 OVERLAND AVENUE, SUITE 110
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123



WRITTEN COMMENT FORM

Planning and
Development Services

I strongly oppose all four of the Solar projects as
described in the Soitec Solar RIER. I oppose these projects
because the significant impacts to the National
Environment, sensitive biological and cultural resources,
and negative impact they will have on adjacent resident
Quality of life, Property values, wells. I am also concerned
about the placement of large scale solar farms in a wild fire
prone area that has limited firefighting capabilities. These huge
projects do not fit our rural landscape. 2/2/14

I18-1

For these reasons I support the No Project
alternative listed in the Soitec RIER

MAIL, FAX or E-MAIL FORMS TO:

Robert Hingtgen
County of San Diego
Department of Planning & Development Services
5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 110
San Diego, CA 92123
FAX #: (619) 694-2485
e-mail: robert.hingtgen@sdcounty.ca.gov

COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 4:00 PM, FEBRUARY 17, 2014

Signature Sonia Kara Date 2/2/14

Print Name

Address

Jacumba

City

State

Zip Code

Ca

Phone Number

702-218-3406

I18-2

Response to Comment Letter I18

Sonia Kara
February 4, 2014

I18-1

The County of San Diego (County) acknowledges the commenter's opposition to the Proposed Project. Adverse effects related to the environmental issues cited in this comment were considered and addressed in the Draft Program Environmental Impact Report (DPEIR). The environmental evaluation determined that the Proposed Project would have a less than significant impact on biological resources, cultural resources, groundwater wells, and hazards such as risk of wildfire and emergency response capabilities (see DPEIR Sections 2.3, 2.4, 3.14, 3.1.5, 3.1.7; common response WR1). Property values are not an environmental issue and as such, are not evaluated in the Environmental Impact Report (EIR). The information in this comment will be provided in the Final Program EIR (FPEIR) for review and consideration by the decision makers.

I18-2

The County acknowledges the commenter's support for the No Project Alternative. The decision makers will consider all information in the FPEIR and related documents before making a decision on the Proposed Project. The information in this comment will be provided in the FPEIR for review and consideration by the decision makers.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK